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## Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ : then the study of the $\Gamma$-periodic meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ can be reduced to the study of the space of sections of holomorphic line bundles $L$ on the quotient complex manifold $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ called a quasi- torus.

Furthermore, one can reduce (cf. e.g. [C-C, especially p. 62-65]) oneself to consider the case where

1) $X$ is a Cousin quasi-torus (also called a toroidal group): this means that $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\mathbb{C}$, and implies in particular that the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\Gamma$ equals $n+m$, with $0<m \leqq n$, and where
2) $L$ is a positive line bundle, i.e., the alternating form $c_{\mathrm{I}}(L): \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by the first Chern class of $L$ can be obtained as the imaginary part of a positive definite Hermitian form $H$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (i.e., $c_{1}(L)=\operatorname{Im}(H) / \Gamma \times \Gamma$ ).

In fact, (cf. e.g. [A-G] and [C-C]) a quotient Cousin quasi-torus $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ is said to be a quasi-abelian variety if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied
(i) there exists a positive line bundle $L$ on $X$
(ii) (Generalized Riemann bilinear relations) there exists a Hermitian form $H$ such that
(iia) $H$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
(iib) $\operatorname{Im}(H) / \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
(iii) $X$ has the structure of a quasi-projective algebraic variety
(iv) there exists an aperiodic meromorphic function on $X$.

The main difference with the classical case of Abelian varieties, which are the $X$ 's as above which are compact, is that here the Picard group of line bundles can be infinite dimensional (cf. [ $\mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Vo}$ ]), and there are the so called non linearizable bundles. Whereas in the classical case the sections of line bundles can be explicitly written down in terms of the so called theta functions, up
to now was not even completely solved the problem of determining the line bundles which have non zero sections.

In [C-C] we gave the answer to this problem admitting the validity of conjecture 3.22 in [C-C].

The first goal of this paper is to show the above conjecture:
Theorem A If $L$ is a positive line bundle, then $H^{0}(X, L) \neq 0$, and indeed infinite dimensional if $X$ is not compact.
This conjecture had been proven by Cousin [Cou] in the special case $m=1$ by constructing explicit Weierstrass products.

With different methods (essentially Nakano's vanishing theorems [ Na ] for positive line bundles on weakly 1 -complete manifolds), Abe [A2] reproved Cousin's result and showed morever the existence of a (non explicitly given) positive integer $r$ such that if $L$ is positive and $c_{1}(L)$ is divisible by an integer $d \geqq r$, then $H^{0}(X, L)$ is infinite dimensional in the case where $X$ is not compact. Our proof is based on Abe's result and consists in two basic steps. Firstly, via the descent trick, for every subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ such that the pull back of $L$ on $X^{\prime}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma^{\prime}$ has Chern class $c_{1}(L)$ divisible by an integer $d \geqq r$, we show that there exists a representation $\chi: \Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that, if $M_{\chi}$ is the flat bundle on $X$ associated to $\chi$, then $H^{0}\left(L \otimes M_{\chi}\right) \neq 0$.

Secondly, we show that for every unitary flat bundle $M, L \otimes M$ is isomorphic to a translate of $L$ by an element of the maximal complex subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R} \Gamma$.

Moreover, in [C-C] we based our characterization of quasi-Abelian varieties on some weak Lefschetz type embedding theorems.

In the second part of this paper we obtain all the analogues, both of the classic embedding theorems of Lefschetz and of its recent improvements, in the non compact case of quasi-Abelian varieties. In fact, we prove the best possible Lefschetz-type results:

Theorem $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ If $d \mid c_{1}(L)$ with $d \geqq 2$, then $H^{0}(L)$ is base point free.
Theorem B If $d \mid c_{1}(L)$, with $d \geqq 3$, then $H^{0}(L)$ gives a projective embedding of $X$.

In our non compact situation, a projective embedding is simply an injective map everywhere of maximal rank (since $H^{0}(L)$ is an infinite dimensional space, a more concrete statement is that there exists a finite dimensional vector subspace of $H^{0}(L)$ yielding an injective map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$ which is a local embedding).

Note that the above theorem $B$ is indeed even better than the "conditional" theorem 3.26 of [C-C].

We can do even more, showing the analogue of a result of Ohbuchi ([O], cf. also [L-B], p. 88):

Theorem C If $2 \mid c_{1}(L)$ then $H^{0}(L)$ gives a projective embedding of $X$ unless the linearized bundle $B$ associated to a square root of $L$ yields a polarization
$(X, B)$ which is reducible with one factor $\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ yielding a principally polarized Abelian variety.

As we show in 2.4 , the further difficulty that one encounters is that Poincare's complete reducibility theorem does not hold in the non compact case.

Finally, at the end of sect. 2, we pose some new questions.

## 1 Positive line-bundles on quasi-abelian varieties have non-zero sections

Proposition 1.1 Let L be a positive line bundle on $X$ and let $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup s.t. $H^{0}\left(\pi^{* *}(L)\right) \neq 0$ on $X^{\prime}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma^{\prime}$, where $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection. Then there exists a representation $\chi: \Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that, if $M_{\chi}$ is the flat bundle on $X$ associated to $\chi, H^{0}\left(L \otimes M_{\chi}\right) \neq 0$ (and indeed infinite dimensional if $H^{0}\left(\pi^{\prime *}(L)\right)$ is such $)$.

Proof. Let $G=\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}$ be the kernel of $\pi^{\prime}$, and let $r$ be its exponent. Then the bundle $L^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime *}(L)$ is $G$-linearized (cf. [Mu1]) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right) & \cong H^{0}\left(\pi^{\prime *}(L)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(L \otimes \pi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in G^{G}} H^{0}\left(L \oplus M_{\chi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G^{*}$ is the group of characters of $G, G^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ and $M_{\chi}$ is the flat line bundle associated to the representation of $\Pi_{1}(X)=\Gamma$ induced by $\chi$ : $G \rightarrow \mu_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ via the epimorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow G=\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}$. We can conclude that there exists a $\chi \varepsilon G^{`}$ s.t. $H^{0}\left(L \otimes M_{\chi}\right) \neq 0$.
Q.E.D

Corollary 1.2 Let $L$ be a positive line bundle. Then there exists a flat torsion bundle $F$ s.t. $H^{0}(L \otimes F) \neq 0$ (and it is indeed infinite dimensional if $X$ is not compact).

Proof. Let $r: X \rightarrow X$ be the multiplication by an integer $r$. By the result of Abe [A2, thrèoreme 6.4] $L^{\prime}=r^{*}(L)$ has non zero sections (and has infinite dimension if $X$ is not compact) if $r \gg 0$, since $c_{1}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=r^{2} c_{1}(L)$. We can thus apply proposition 1.1.
Q.E.D

Now, we are able to give the proof of the main result concerning the existence of non-zero sections of positive line-bundles on quasi-abelian varieties.

Let us start with two easy lemmas of linear algebra.
Lemma 1.3 Let $a: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-linear function. Then there exist a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear function $i$ and $a$ unique $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear function $\psi$ such that $a=\lambda+\psi$.

Moreover, $a: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ iff $\psi=\bar{\lambda}$.

Proof. It suffices to define:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(x)=\frac{1}{2}[a(x)+i a(i x)] \quad \text { and } \\
& \psi(x)=\frac{1}{2}[a(x)-i a(i x)] .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to verify the remaining assertions.
Lemma 1.4 Let $V$ be $a \mathbb{R}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $a: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-linear function.

Denote by $F$ the maximal $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ contained in $V$, and assume that $H$ is a positive definite Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then there do exist an element $\tau \in F$ and $a \mathbb{C}$-linear function $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$
a(x)=H(\tau, x)+\varphi(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in V .
$$

Proof. We can assume $V$ spans $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and find a $\mathbb{R}$-subspace $W$ such that $V=F \oplus W$, and $\mathbb{C}^{n}=F \oplus W \oplus i W$ (we set for convenience $U=W \oplus i W$, which is a complex subspace).

For all $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, decompose accordingly $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$ with $x_{1} \in F$ and $x_{2} \in$ $U\left(x_{2} \in W\right.$ iff $\left.x \in V\right)$.

Let the $\mathbb{R}$-linear forms $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ be the respective restrictions of a to $F$ and $W$. Applying lemma 1.3, we can write: $a_{1}=\hat{\lambda}_{1}+\psi_{1}$ with $\hat{\lambda}_{1} \mathbb{C}$ linear on $F$, resp. $\psi_{1} \mathbb{C}$-antilinear. Since the restriction of $H$ to $F$ is positive definite, the map $t \rightarrow H\left(t, x_{1}\right)$ yields an isomorphism between $F$ and the space of the $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear functions on $F$. Therefore, there exists an element $\tau \in F$ such that $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=H\left(\tau, x_{1}\right)$. We can observe that $\lambda_{1}=\bar{\psi}_{1}$. The form $\lambda_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-H\left(\tau, x_{2}\right)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear on $W$ and thus it can be uniquely extended to a $\mathbb{C}$-linear form on the whole of $U$. Define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear form $\varphi$ by $\varphi(x)=\hat{\lambda}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\hat{\lambda}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Then, for $x \in V$, we have $a(x)=a_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=$ $\dot{\lambda}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+H\left(\tau, x_{1}\right)+H\left(\tau, x_{2}\right)+\dot{\lambda}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=H(\tau, x)+\varphi(x)$, as it had to be shown.
Q.E.D.

Theorem A Let $L$ be a positive line bundle on a quasi-abelian variety $X$. Then $H^{0}(L) \neq 0$ and it is indeed an infinite dimensional vector space if $X$ is not compact.

Proof. Let $f_{\gamma}(z)=\rho(\gamma) e\left(-\frac{i}{2}\left[H(z, \gamma)+\frac{1}{2} H(\gamma, \gamma)\right]+\psi_{i}(z)\right.$ ) (where we use the notation $e(\xi)=\exp (2 \pi i \xi)$ ), be a cocycle in $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} n\right)$ in Appell-Humbert normal form representing the line bundle $L \mathrm{cf}$. [A-G]).

We have here in particular that:

1) $H$ is a positive definite Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$
2) $\rho(\gamma)$ is a semicharacter of $\Gamma$ relative to the integral alternating form $c_{1}(L)=$ Im $H$
3) The $\psi_{\gamma}(z)$ 's are $F$-periodic entire functions.

Let $M$ be a torsion flat bundle such that $H^{0}(L \otimes M) \neq 0$ as in Corollary 1.2. $M$ is associated to a cocycle $\chi_{\gamma}$ which is a unitary character. Then there is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear form $a: \mathbb{R} \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi_{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{e}(a(\gamma))$.

We can therefore apply Lemma 1.4 with $V=\mathbb{R} \Gamma$, and we obtain a vector $\tau \in F$ and a $\mathbb{C}$-linear form $\varphi$ such that:

$$
a(z)=H(-i \tau / 2, z)+\varphi(z) \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{R} \Gamma .
$$

Let $T_{\tau}: X \rightarrow X$ be the translation on $X$ induced by $\tau$, and let $L_{\tau}=\left(T_{\tau}\right)^{*}(L)$ be the pull-back of the bundle $L$.

The cocycle which expresses $L_{\tau}$ is the following:

$$
g_{\gamma}(z)=f_{\gamma}(z+\tau)=\rho(\gamma) e\left(-\frac{i}{2}\left[H(z+\tau, \gamma)+\frac{1}{2} H(\gamma, \gamma)\right]+\psi_{\gamma}(z+\tau)\right)
$$

and since the $\psi_{\gamma}$ 's are $F$-periodic

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\gamma}(z) & =\rho(\gamma) e\left(-\frac{i}{2}\left[H(z, \gamma)+\frac{1}{2} H(\gamma, \gamma)\right]+\psi_{\gamma}(z)\right) e\left(-\frac{i}{2} H(\tau, \gamma)\right) \\
& =f_{\gamma}(z) \boldsymbol{e}\left(-\frac{i}{2} H(\tau, \gamma)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we alter this cocycle by the coboundary $e(\varphi(z+\gamma)-\varphi(z))=e(\varphi(\gamma))$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\gamma}^{\prime}(z) & =f_{\gamma}(z) e\left(-\frac{i}{2} H(\tau, \gamma)\right) e(\varphi(\gamma)) \\
& =f_{\gamma}(z) e\left(-\frac{i}{2} H(\tau, \gamma)+\varphi(\gamma)\right) \\
& =f_{\gamma}(z) x_{\gamma} \text { by our choice of } \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

But it is immediate to see that $g_{\gamma}^{\prime}(z)$ is the cocycle associated to the line bundle $L \otimes M$, so $L_{\tau}$ and $L \otimes M$ are isomorphic.

Hence $H^{0}(L \otimes M) \cong H^{0}\left(L_{\tau}\right) \cong H^{0}(L)$ and then also the vector space $H^{0}(L)$ is non zero and indeed infinite dimensional if $X$ is not compact. Q.E.D.

## 2 Lefschetz type theorems

The following is a direct consequence of theorem A (here we essentially reproduce, for the benefit of the reader's warm up, the proof of Theorem 3.8 of [C-C]):

Theorem $A^{\prime}$ Let $L$ be a positive line bundle on $X$. If $d \mid c_{1}(L)$, with $d \geqq 2$, then $H^{0}(L)$ is base point free.

Proof. We can write $L=B^{d} \otimes \mathscr{L}$, where $B$ is linearized and positive and $\mathscr{L}$ is a topologically trivial line bundle.

Let $F$ as above be the maximal complex subspace contained in $\mathbb{R} \otimes \Gamma$. Here and in the following we shall often, by slight abuse of notation, use the same symbol for vectors and subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and their image in $X$ under the canonical projection $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$.

If $a \in F$, let $T_{a}: X \rightarrow X$ be the translation by $a$ and set $\left(T_{a}\right)^{*}(B)=B_{a}$. Notice that $\left(T_{a}\right)^{*}(\mathscr{L}) \cong \mathscr{L}$ because the cocycle of $\mathscr{L}$ is given by $F$-periodic functions.

Let $\sigma$ be a non zero section in $H^{0}(B \otimes \mathscr{L})$, and $s_{2}, \ldots s_{d}$ non zero sections in $H^{0}(B)$.

It is straightforward to see that $\prod_{i=2, . d} s_{i}\left(z-a_{i}\right) \cdot \sigma\left(z+a_{2}+. . a_{d}\right)$ is a section of $L$ for each choice of $a_{2}, . . a_{d}$ in $F$.

Assume that exists a point z s.t.

$$
\text { (*) } \prod_{i=2, . . d} s_{i}\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdot \sigma\left(z+a_{2}+. . a_{d}\right)=0 \text { for all }\left(a_{2}, . . a_{d}\right) \text { in } F^{d-1}
$$

Then one of the $d$ above holomorphic functions must be identically zero on $z+F$, call it $s$. But, since $F$ has dense image in $K=\mathbb{R} \Gamma / \Gamma$ (cf. [Mo]), and the smallest (complex) analytic set containing $z+K$ is $X, s$ should be identically zero on $X$, which is a contradiction.
Q.E.D.

Theorem B If $L$ is positive and $d \mid c_{1}(L)$, with $d \geqq 3$, then $H^{0}(L)$ gives an embedding of $X$ in a projective space.

Proof. We can write $L=B^{d} \otimes \mathscr{L}$, where $B$ is linearized and positive and $\mathscr{L}$ is a topologically trivial line bundle. Setting $L^{\prime}=B^{i} \otimes \mathscr{L}$ where $i=1$ or $2, i \equiv d$ $(\bmod 2)$, we decompose $L \cong L^{\prime} \otimes N^{2}$ where $N$ is linearized and positive and where, by theorem $\mathrm{A}, H^{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. Note again that, for $a$ in $F$, if $i+2 j=d$, then

$$
L \cong\left(T_{2 j a}\right)^{*} L^{\prime} \otimes\left(\left(T_{-i a}\right)^{*} N\right)^{2} .
$$

Claim I. Let $\mathscr{B}_{a}$ be the base locus of $H^{0}\left(\left(T_{-a}\right)^{*}\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right)$, for $a \in F$ : then the intersection $\Delta=\cap_{a \in F} \mathscr{B}_{a}=\varnothing$.

Proof. $\mathscr{B}_{a}=\mathscr{P}_{0}+a$, whence, if $z \in \Delta$, then $z+\pi(F) \subset \Delta, z+K \subset \Delta$ and finally

Claim II. The sections of $L$ give a local embedding at any point of $x$ of $X$.

Proof. We can assume that $a \in F$ is generic, thus if $s$ is a non zero section of $H^{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$, by claim I, $s(x+2 j a)$ is non zero.

It suffices thus to show that for generic $a$, the sections of $\left.H^{0}\left(\left(T_{-i a}\right)^{*} N\right)^{2}\right)$ give a local embedding at $x$.

By the same argument as in claim I, it suffices therefore to know that the locus $\Sigma$ of points where the sections of $H^{0}\left(N^{2}\right)$ do not give a local embedding is a proper analytic subset of $X$.

Otherwise the sections of $H^{0}\left(N^{2}\right)$ would give a map with positive dimensional fibres. This is contradicted by the following

Claim II'. The sections of $H^{0}\left(N^{2}\right)$ give a map with no positive dimensional fibre.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ be points in one such fibre.
Since $N^{2} \cong\left(T_{b}\right)^{*} N \otimes\left(T_{-b}\right)^{*} N$, for each $b$ in $X$ ( $N$ being linearized), we find that for each divisor $D$ of a section of $N$, for each $b$ in $X$, if $x$ lies in $D+b$, then either $D-b$ contains $y$, or $D+b$ contains $y$. I.e., for each $d$ in $D$ (set $b=x-d$ ) then $D$ contains either $y+x-d$, or $y-x+d$. Whence either $\left(T_{y+x}\right)(-D)=D$, or $\left(T_{y-x}\right)(D)=D$.

The conclusion is that the group of translations of $D,\{t \mid D+t=D\}$ has positive dimension for all such $D$.

Let now $X^{\prime}$ be a quotient Abelian variety of $X$ such that the linearized bundle $N$ pulls back form $X^{\prime}$, and let $D$ be the pull back of a divisor $D^{\prime}$ of a section of $N^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime}$ (cf. e.g., proposition 2.8 of [C-C]). Then also $D^{\prime}$ should have a positive dimensional group of translations, contradicting the fact that this group is well known to be finite, $N^{\prime}$ being a positive line bundle on an Abelian variety.

Claim III. The sections of $L$ separate pairs of points $x \neq y$ of $X$.
Proof. Similarly, it suffices to show that for generic $a$ the sections of $\left.H^{0}\left(\left(T_{-i a}\right)^{*} N\right)^{2}\right)$ separate $x$ and $y$, i.e., the sections of $H^{0}\left(N^{2}\right)$ separate $x+a$ and $y+a$ for generic $a$ in $F$.

Otherwise, for every section $f$ of $H^{0}(N)$, let $D$ be its divisor. Then one would have that for each $a$ in $F$ and each $b$, if $D+b$ contains $x+a$, then either
(i) $D+b$ contains $y+a$, or
(ii) $D-b$ contains $y+a$.

In case (ii), for each $d$ in $D$, and each $a$ in $F$, if we set $b=x+a-d$, then $y+a+b=y+x+2 a-d$ lies in $D$, whence $D$ contains a translate of $F$, what is a contradiction as usual.

Thus (i) holds, thus for each $d$ in $D, d+y-x$ lies in $D$, that is, $D$ is $(y-x)$ periodic.

If $X$ is compact, this is not possible, otherwise (cf. [L-B]) all sections of $H^{0}(N)$ would pull back from a quotient of $X$, contradicting the Riemann-Roch formula. In the non compact case, we use Proposition 2.8 of [C-C], by which there exist quotients $X_{1}, X_{2}$, such that

1) $N$ is a pull back of a line bundle $N_{i}$ on $X_{i}$
2) $\operatorname{ker}\left(X \rightarrow X_{1} \times X_{2}\right)=0$.

Since $X_{i}$ is compact, there exists an aperiodic section of $H^{0}\left(N_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$; whence ( $x-y$ ) maps to 0 in $X_{i}$ and it follows from 2) that $x=y$. Q.E.D.

One may ask whether the above results (Theorems $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}$ ) are the best possible ones. The answer is yes, and it suffices to look at the case where $X$ is compact and $L$ gives a principal polarization, i.e., when all the elementary divisors $d_{i}$ are equal to 1 .

In fact, in this case, $H^{0}(L)$ has only one non zero section, whereas $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)$ yields a 2 to 1 map (more precisely, c.f. [L-B, p. 99-101], for suitable choice of the origin, an embedding of the quotient of $X$ by multiplication by -1 ).

This exception reproduces itself as follows:
Definition 2.1 Let $(X, L)$ be a pair, consisting of a quasi-Abelian variety and a positive line bundle. Then ( $X, L$ ) is called a polarized quasi-Abelian variety, and is said to be reducible if there exists two similar pairs $\left(X_{i}, L_{i}\right) i=1,2$ such that $(X, L) \cong\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right) \otimes\left(X_{2}, L_{2}\right)$, what means that there exist homomorphisms $\pi_{i}: X \rightarrow X_{i}$ such that $\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}$ is an isomorphism, and $L \cong \pi_{1}^{*}\left(L_{1}\right) \otimes \pi_{2}^{*}\left(L_{2}\right)$. Otherwise, $(X, L)$ is said to be irreducible.

Remark 2.2 Let $(X, L)$ be $\cong\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right) \otimes\left(X_{2}, L_{2}\right)$, where $X_{1}$ is compact and $L_{2}$ is trivial. Since $X_{2}$ is quasi-abelian, there are no nonconstant functions on it, and by the Kunneth formula (or by the Leray theorem) $H^{0}(X, L) \cong H^{0}\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ which is a finite dimensional vector space. This example shows that theorem 8.2 of [A2] is incorrect, the error lying in the unproven assertion that, for each $n, L$ is the pull back from an Abelian variety of a line bundle with Pfaffian bigger than $n$.

It follows easily that any ( $X, L$ ) can be written as a product of irreducibles $\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(X_{r}, L_{r}\right)$. Moreover, by the Kunneth formula, such a decomposition gives to the space of global sections the structure of completed tensor product

$$
H^{0}(X, L) \cong H^{0}\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right) \otimes^{\wedge} \ldots \otimes^{\wedge} H^{0}\left(X_{r}, L_{r}\right) .
$$

Therefore, if one such factor is a principally polarized Abelian variety, then again the generalized Lefschetz theorems $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}$ cannot be improved. To obtain improvements, it suffices in the classical case to assume that the pair $(X, L)$ is irreducible (or that it does not have a reduction with a principally polarized factor).

But in order to show that in some cases a polarization is reducible, an important tool to study Abelian varieties is Poincare's reducibility theorem. We show here a partial extension of it, and in the following example we show that it does not hold in general for quasi-Abelian varieties.

Proposition 2.3 Let ( $X, L$ ) be a polarized quasi-Abelian variety, and assume that $X^{\prime \prime}$ is an Abelian subvariety. Then there is a closed quasi-Abelian subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ such that the natural map of $X^{\prime \prime} \times X^{\prime}$ to $X$ is onto and with finite kernel.

Proof. Let $X=V / \Gamma, X^{\prime \prime}=V^{\prime \prime} / \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, and let $V^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal to $V^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to a positive definite Hermitian form representing $c_{1}(L)$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ spans $V^{\prime \prime}$ as a real vector space, if we set $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma \cap V^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}$ equals to the orthogonal to $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to $c_{1}(L)$. $H$ being positive definite on $V^{\prime \prime}$, the rank of $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ plus the rank of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ add up to the rank of $\Gamma$, thus $\Gamma / \Gamma^{\prime}+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is finite and our assertion is proven.
Q.E.D.

Example 2.4 Let $X$ be a generic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-extension of the product of two elliptic curves, and consider the projection $f: X \rightarrow E$, where $E$ is the second elliptic curve. Then
(i) $X$ is a quasi-Abelian variety
(ii) $X^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{ker}(f)$ is a Cousin quasi-torus, whence quasi-Abelian
(iii) $f$ does not split
(iv) if $L^{\prime}$ is a line bundle of degree 1 on $E$, and $L$ is the pull-back of $L$, then $h^{0}(L)=1$.
(v) $X$ is not isogenous to $X^{\prime \prime} \times E$.

Proof. We can assume that $X=\mathbb{C}^{3} / \Gamma$, where $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}^{3} \otimes \mathbb{Z}\left(b, \tau^{\prime}, 0\right)^{t} \oplus$ $\mathbb{Z}(d, 0, \tau)^{t}$. Then $f$ is induced by the third coordinate function in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. By pulling back a polarization on $E^{\prime} \times E$, we get a linearized bundle whose Hermitian form is positive definite on the maximal complex subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R} \Gamma$ (spanned by the imaginary parts of the last two vectors of the given basis of $\Gamma$ ). Whence, (i) and (ii) are verified if both $X$, and $X^{\prime \prime}$ are Cousin, which follows (cf. e.g. [C-C, 1.5]) if $b$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(iii) If $f$ would split, then there would be two vectors in $\Gamma$ whose third coordinates would respectively equal 1 , $\tau$, and which should be $\mathbb{C}$-linearly dependent. In particular, there should exist integers $m, m^{\prime}, n, n^{\prime}$ such that $m \tau \dot{b}+$ $n^{\prime} \tau=n+d+b m^{\prime}$, which can be excluded by assuming $\tau, 1, b, \tau b, d$ to be $\mathbb{Q}$ linearly independent .
(iv) Follows now from (ii) since $L$ is trivial on the fibres of $f$ (isomorphic to $X^{\prime \prime}$ ), and every holomorphic section is constant on the fibres of $f$, whence every section of $L$ pulls back from a section of $L^{\prime}$ on $E$.
(v) Otherwise $X$ is isogenous to $X^{\prime \prime} \times E^{\prime \prime}$ where the elliptic curve $E^{\prime \prime} \subset X$ is a finite covering of $E$, and then there would exist an integer $h$ and two vectors in $\Gamma$, whose third coordinates would respectively equal $h, h \tau$, which should be $\mathbb{C}$-linearly dependent. We proceed as in step (iii).

The preceding example shows moreover (by (iv)) that in the next proposition neither the result of 2) can be improved, nor can be relaxed the hypothesis of positivity in 3 ).

Proposition 2.5 Let $D$ be the divisor of a linearized line bundle $L$ on a quasiAbelian variety. Then

1) if $D$ is reducible as $D_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+D_{r}^{\prime}$, then there is another divisor in $|D|$ reducible as $D_{1}+\ldots+D_{r}$, with $D_{i}$ the divisor of the linearized bundle $B_{i}$ associated to $D_{i}^{\prime}$.
2) If $|D|=\{D\}\left(h^{0}(L)=1\right)$, then there is a principally polarized Abelian variety $\left(X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$, and a holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ with connected fibres such that $D$ is the pull back of $D^{\prime}$.
3) If $D$ is positive and $|D|$ has a fixed part, then the polarization $(X, D)$ is reducible with one factor being a principally polarized Abelian variety.

Proof. 1) If $D_{i}^{\prime}$ is the divisor of a bundle $L_{i}$, then (cf. [C-C], pp. 64-65), there is a semi-positive definite Hermitian form $H_{i}$ of maximal rank, representing the first Chern class of $L_{i}$, such that the image $\Gamma_{i}$ of $\Gamma$ into $V / \operatorname{ker} H_{i}$ is discrete. Then, if $X_{i}^{\prime}=\left(V / \operatorname{ker} H_{i}\right) / \Gamma_{i}, L_{i}$ pulls back from a positive bundle $L_{i}^{\prime}$ on $X_{i}^{\prime}$ (the pair ( $X_{i}^{\prime}, L_{i}^{\prime}$ ) is called the reduction of $L_{i}$ ): the same holds for its linearization $B_{i}$, which therefore has a non zero section, whose divisor we choose as $D_{i}$.
2) As in 1), consider the reduction ( $X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ ) of $L . L^{\prime}$ is linearized and positive, and with $h^{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1$, therefore $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is a principally polarized Abelian variety. Assume that the projection $f$ of $X$ to $X^{\prime}$ has disconnected fibres. Then (the fundamental group of $X^{\prime}$ being Abelian) $f$ factors through an Abelian variety $Y$ which is a finite covering of $X^{\prime}$ of positive degree. This contradicts $h^{0}(L)=1$.
3) Let $H$ be a positive definite Hermitian form corresponding to the linearized bundle of $L$.

By Proposition 2.8 of $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}]$, there exist lattices $\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, whose intersection is $\Gamma$, such that the imaginary part of $H$ is integral on those lattices. It follows that there are projections of $X$ to polarized Abelian varieties $X^{\prime}$ (resp.: $X^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that $L$ is a pull-back of the respective polarizations. Let $\Theta$ be the fixed part of $|D|$. By 1), $\Theta$ is a linearized divisor and if $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is the reduction of $\Theta$, then, by 2 ), $Y$ is a principally polarized Abelian variety.

Since $p$ factors through both projections to $X^{\prime}$, resp. $X^{\prime \prime}$, it follows by the decomposition theorem (cf. [L-B], pp. 77 and foll.), that there are splittings of both the projections $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y, \pi^{\prime \prime}: X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y$.

Write $X=V / \Gamma, Y=W / \Lambda$ : then these splittings give an isomorphism of $W$ with the $H$-orthogonal of $V^{\circ}\left(V^{\circ}\right.$ being the tangent space to $\left.Z=\operatorname{ker} p\right)$ and this isomorphism carries $\Lambda$ into the intersection of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$.

Whence, we have obtained a splitting $Y \rightarrow X$ of $p$, giving an isomorphism of $X$ with $Z \times Y$. So $L$ is a tensor product of two pull backs of linearized bundles from the two factors. The second one must be the given principal polarization, whence 3 ) is proven.
Q.E.D.

Theorem C If $L$ is a positive line bundle, then the sections of $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)$ give a projective embedding of $X$ if and only if the linearized bundle $B$ associated to $L$ does not yield a polarization $(X, B)$ which is reducible with one factor $\left(X_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ being a principally polarized Abelian variety.

Remark 2.6 The corresponding result for Abelian varieties was proved by Ohbuchi ([O], cf. also [L-B], p. 88).

Proof of theorem $C$ We can write $L=B \otimes \mathscr{N}$, where $B$ is linearized and positive and $\mathscr{N}$ is a topologically trivial line bundle.

Thus, if $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{N}^{2}, L^{2}=(B \otimes \mathscr{L}) \otimes B$, and $H^{0}(B \otimes \mathscr{L}), H^{0}(B) \neq 0$. Moreover, by our assumption, both spaces have dimension at least 2 (infinite in the non compact case).

We let $D^{\prime}$ be the divisor of a generic section of $H^{0}(B \otimes \mathscr{L})$, and $D$ the divisor of a generic section of $H^{0}(B)$.

By our usual argument, for fixed $x$ and generic $a$ in $F, x$ does not belong to $D+a$ (neither to $D^{\prime}+a$ ).

Step $I$ Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct points of $X$. If $x$ and $y$ are not separated by the sections of $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)$, then, for every a in $F$, and $D, D^{\prime}$ as above, it follows that if $D+a$ contains $x$, then either
(i) $D^{\prime}-a$ contains $y$, or
(ii) $D+a$ contains $y$.

Sublemma 2.7 Let $\Lambda$ be a $k$-dimensional linear system of divisors $\subset|D|$, where $k \geqq 1$, and let $F$ be as usual the maximal complex subspace of $\mathbb{R} \Gamma$. Then if $\Delta=\{(D, a) \mid D+a$ contains $x\} \subset \Lambda \times F, \Delta$ is irreducible if $\Lambda$ restricted to $x+F$ has a base locus $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ which contains no divisor.

In any case, $\Delta$ contains a unique irreducible component $\Delta^{\text {hor }}$ mapping onto $F$.

Proof. $\Delta$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$-bundle over $F-\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the base locus of $\Lambda$ and set $\mathscr{B}_{x}=F \cap(x-\mathscr{B})$. Since $\Delta$ is a divisor in $\Lambda \times F$, the only possibility that $\Delta$ is reducible is that $\mathscr{B}_{x}$ has a component which has codimension 1 in $F$.

In this case, though, where $\mathscr{B} \cap(x+F)=\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ contains a divisor, we let $\Delta^{\text {hor }}$ be the closure of the above $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$-bundle over $F-\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$

There are only two cases:
Case A. $\Delta$ is irreducible.
Case B. $\Delta$ is reducible and the analytic Zariski closure of $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ in $X$ does not contain a divisor (else, $|D|$ would have a fixed part, contradicting 3) of Proposition 2.5).

In both cases, either (i) holds for each pair ( $D, a$ ) in $\Delta^{\text {hor }}$, or (ii) does. (i) is absurd, since then $y-a$ belongs to the base locus of $\left|D^{\prime}\right|$ for each $a$, and this base locus should be the whole of $X$, a contradiction.

We can thus assume that (ii) holds for each pair ( $D, a$ ) in $\Delta^{\text {hor }}$. In Case A, this means that for each $D$ in $|D|$, if $x-a$ is in $D$, then also $y-a$ is in $D$. Equivalently, translation by $(y-x)$ carries $D \cap(x+F)$ to $D \cap(y+F)$. By Lemma 2.8. both sets are analytically Zariski dense in $D$. Therefore, any such divisor $D$ is $(y-x)$ periodic. As we saw in Claim III of Theorem $B$, this is impossible.

In Case $B$, the above holds if we replace $D \cap(x+F)$ by $D \cap(x+F)-\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$. But, since the analytic Zariski closure of $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ does not contain a divisor, this smaller set is again Zariski dense in $D$.

Step $I I$ Assume that the sections of $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)$ do not give a projective embedding of $X$ at $x$, and let $v$ be a tangent vector at $x$ which is in the kernel of the differential. Since for generic $D^{\prime}$ and $a, D^{\prime}+a$ does not contain $x$, it follows that for all $a$ in $F$ in Case $A$, for all points in $F-\mathscr{B}_{x}$ in Case $B$, it holds that if $D$ contains $x-a$, then $v$ is tangent to $D$ at $x-a$. But since we have thus, as we saw, a Zariski dense set in $D$, it follows that $D$ is invariant by translation
by the subgroup $\exp (v)$. This should hold for any $D$ in the linear system $|D|$. But since $D$ is linearized, it suffices to take a divisor $D$ which is a pull back of a positive divisor on an Abelian variety to derive a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.8 If $D$ is an irreducible divisor in a quasi-Abelian variety, then $D \cap(\pi(F))$ is analytically Zariski dense in $D$ (whence the same conclusion holds for every divisor).

Proof. The proof will be carried out in three steps.
Step $1 D \cap(\pi(F))$ is not empty.
Step 2 If $K$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $X, K=\mathbb{R} \Gamma / \Gamma, D \cap(\pi(F))$ is dense in $D \cap K$.

Step 3 If $D \cap K$ is not empty, its analytic Zariski closure is a divisor, whence it equals $D$ (by irreducibility).

1) It suffices to show that the volume of $F \cap \pi^{-1}(D)$ is infinite. To this purpose, we consider the line bundle $L$ associated to $D$, and we consider a corresponding cocycle in $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{*}\right)$ given in Appell-Humbert normal form

$$
k_{\gamma}(z)=\rho(\gamma) \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{-i}{2}\left[H(z, \gamma)+\frac{1}{2} H(\gamma, \gamma)\right]+\psi_{\gamma}(z)\right) .
$$

$D$ is the divisor of a section of $L$, i.e., of a function $f(z)$ solving the functional equation $f(z+\gamma)=k_{\gamma}(z) f(z)$.

The existence of a non zero section $f$ implies that the Hermitian form is semi-positive definite and non zero on the maximal complex subspace $F$ contained in $\mathbb{R} \otimes \Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ (cf. e.g. [C-C], Theorem 3.20). In particular, the trace of a Hermitian matrix representing the restriction of $H$ to $F$ is strictly positive.

We choose now (cf. [C-C], p. 49) apt linear coordinates $(u, v)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, i.e., such that $F$ is the subspace $\{u=0\}, \mathbb{R} \Gamma=\{\operatorname{Im} u=0\}$, and $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}^{n-m} \oplus$ $\Omega \mathbb{Z}^{2 m}$, with the matrix $\Omega=\left(\Omega_{u}^{t}, \Omega_{v}^{t}\right)^{t}$ such that $\Omega_{v}$ defines a lattice in $F$.

We let, for $q$ in $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 m}\right)^{2 m}, P(q) \subset F$ to be the fundamental parallelotope $\Omega_{v} \cdot q \cdot(Q), Q$ being the unit cube.
$F \cap \pi^{-1}(D)$ is defined by the equation $f(0, v)=0$, and if $P^{\prime}$ is a parallelotope, the function $w\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(P^{\prime} \cap F \cap \pi^{-1}(D)\right)$ is calculated, by the Poincare Lelong equation, by

$$
\text { (2.9) } w\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi i\right) \int_{\partial P^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{dlog}(f) \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right) \text {, }
$$

$\eta$ being the standard Kähler form on $F$.
Now, call $F_{k}$ the $k$-th initial face of $P=P(q)$, and $F_{i k}$ the codimension 2 initial face of $P$ (that is, the image of the points of $Q$ where the $i$-th and $k$-th coordinates are zero).

We can split the integral $w(P)$ as a sum over the corresponding initial and final $k$-th faces of $P, F_{k}$ and $F_{k}^{\prime}$ (they differ by translation by $\left.\Omega_{v} \cdot q_{k}=\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{v}\right)$. We can actually find a $\gamma_{k}$ in $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{k}=\left(\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{u}, \Omega_{v} \cdot q_{k}\right.$, with $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{u}$ in the unit cube.

We can write our volume as follows

$$
w(P)=\sum_{k=1, . .2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)\left[-\int_{F_{k}}+\int_{F_{k}^{\prime}}\right]\left(\mathrm{d} \log (f) \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right)
$$

and the individual integrals can be written as

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \int_{F_{k}}\left(\mathrm{~d} \log \left(f\left(0, v+\Omega_{v} q_{k}\right)-\mathrm{d} \log (f(0, v)) \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right) .\right.
$$

Whence, rewriting the last integral as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \int_{F_{k}}\left(\left[\mathrm{~d} \log f\left(0, v+\Omega_{i} q_{k}\right)-\mathrm{d} \log f\left(\left(0, v+\Omega_{v} q_{k}\right)-\gamma_{k}\right)\right]\right. \\
\left.\quad+\left\{\mathrm{d} \log f\left(-\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{u}, v\right)-\mathrm{d} \log f(0, v)\right\}\right) \wedge \eta^{m-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

We see (as in [Cou]) that the second term is bounded by a constant times the volume of $F_{k}$ times the diameter of $F_{k}$ times the norm $\left|\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{u}\right|$ (this follows by the functional equation and uniform continuity on the unit cube). Whereas, by the functional equation, the first term equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right) \int_{F_{k}}\left(\left[\mathrm{~d} \log k_{-\gamma_{k}}\left(\left(0, v+\Omega_{v} q_{k}\right)\right] \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right) \int_{F_{k}} d H\left(\left(0, v+\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{v}\right), \gamma_{k}\right) \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right) \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right) \int_{F_{k}} d H\left((0, v), \gamma_{k}\right) \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In turn, we can use again Stokes' theorem and write the last integral as a sum on the codimension 2-faces of $F_{k}$, thus we get

$$
\left.\sum_{i \neq k, i=1, .2 m}\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right) \int_{F_{i k}} H\left(\left(0,\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{v}\right), \gamma_{k}\right) \wedge \eta^{m-1}\right) .
$$

We multiply now the matrix $q$ by an integer $h$, and we look at the asympotic behaviour of the volume $w(P(h q))$.

Then, since by the density of $\pi(F)$ the lim inf of $\left|\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{u}\right|$ is zero, the second term is such that its lim inf is $o\left(h^{2 m}\right)$. Whereas the leading part of the first term is asymptotic to

$$
\sum_{i \neq k, i, k=1,2 m}\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right) H\left(\left(0,\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{v}\right),\left(0,\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{v}\right)\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(F_{i k}\right) h^{2 m} .
$$

The leading part is homogeneous in the vectors $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{v}$, and semipositive definite being a volume calculation (minus a lower degree term, when we take the liminf).

We only need to show that it is not identically zero. But if it were so, then it would be identically zero for all choice of 2 m vectors $\left(v_{i}\right)$ in $F$. In particular, we choose an orthonormal basis ( $v_{i}$ ) (for the Euclidean metric on $F$ ) which diagonalizes the symmetric bilinear form $S$ given by the real part of $H$. In this particular case, our expression reduces to $\sum_{i \neq k, i, k=1, .2 m}\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right) H\left(\left(v_{i}\right),\left(v_{k}\right)\right)$, whose real part is just the trace of the symmetric semidefinite form $S$.

But since $H$ is non zero, also $S$ is non zero, whence this trace is strictly positive.
2) Assume that we have a point of $D \cap K$. By changing the $u$-coordinates up to translation, we assume this point to be $(0,0)$. Since $f(0, v)$ is not identically zero, by the Weierstrass preparation theorem we can assume that $f$ is a pseudopolynomial around the origin $\left(v^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots v_{m-1}\right)\right), f(u, v)=$ $v_{m}^{d}+\sum a_{i}\left(u, v^{\prime}\right) v_{m}^{d-i}$, with the $a_{i}\left(u, v^{\prime}\right), s$ vanishing at the origin.

By the density of $\pi(F)$ in $K$, there are points $x_{v}=\left(u_{v}, v_{v}\right)$ in $\pi(F)$ tending to $(0,0)$, i.e., locally at the origin the subspaces $\left\{u=u_{v}\right\}$ belong to $\pi(F)$.

Fix now any $u_{v}$, and $v^{\prime}$ : since $f$ is monic in $v_{m}$, there is a root which tends to 0 as soon as $u_{v}$, and $v^{\prime}$ tend to zero.

But this proves that our point in $D \cap K$ belongs to the closure of $\pi(F) \cap D$.
3) Take a point in $D \cap K$, notation being as above. Let $\delta\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)$ be the discriminant of $f(u, v)$ with respect to $v_{m}$.

Since $D$ is reduced, $\delta$ is not identically zero, whence it is not identically zero for $u$ real and $v^{\prime}$ arbitrary.

Assume that $g(u, v)$ is holomorphic and vanishes on $D \cap K$. We know that, modulo $(f), g$ is equivalent to a pseudopolynomial $r$ of degree $\leqq d-1$. Now $r$ is identically zero on $D \cap K$, but for generic $u$ real, $v^{\prime}$ arbitrary, $f$ has $d$ distinct roots: whence $r$ is identically zero. This shows that the analytic Zariski closure of $D \cap K$ contains an open piece of $D$, and thus the whole of $D$ being $D$ irreducible.

Problem 2.9 Given a positive line bundle $L$ on a quasi-Abelian variety, does there exist a section of $L$ whose divisor of zeroes is aperiodic?

Problem 2.10 Let ( $X, L$ ) be an irreducible polarized non compact quasi-Abelian variety. Do the sections of $H^{0}(L)$ give a projective embedding of $X$ ? Or, at least, a generically injective map?

Remark The last problem is motivated by recent interesting work of Debarre et al [DHS] concerning polarizations of type ( $1, . .1, d$ ) on Abelian varieties.
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